On optimal decay rates for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n

Tetsuro Miyakawa & Maria Elena Schonbek

Dedicated to Professor Jindrich Necas on his 70th birthday

1. Introduction and the results

Consider the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, which will be treated in this paper in the form of the integral equation

(NS)
$$u(t) = e^{-tA}a - \int_0^t \nabla \cdot e^{-(t-s)A} P(u \otimes u)(s) ds$$

for prescribed initial velocity $a(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)), x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and unknown velocity $u(x,t) = (u_1(x,t), \dots, u_n(x,t))$. Here, $A = -\Delta$ is the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^n ; $\{e^{-tA}\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the heat semigroup; $P = (P_{jk})$ is the bounded projection onto divergence-free vector fields; $u \otimes v$ is the matrix with entries $(u \otimes v)_{jk} = u_j v_k$; $\nabla = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ with $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$; and

$$(\nabla \cdot e^{-tA} P(u \otimes u))_j = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \partial_\ell e^{-tA} P_{jk}(u_\ell u_k), \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n.$$

It is well known that for each $a \in L^2$ with $\nabla \cdot a = 0$, (NS) has a weak solution u defined for all $t \geq 0$, satisfying the energy inequality

$$||u(t)||_{2}^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} ||\nabla u||_{2}^{2} ds \le ||a||_{2}^{2}$$
 for all $t \ge 0$.

Hereafter $\|\cdot\|_r$ denotes the L^r -norm.

As shown in [10], there exists a weak solution u such that

(1.1)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n+2}{4}},$$

whenever

(1.2)
$$a \in \mathbf{L}^2, \quad \nabla \cdot a = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int (1+|y|)|a(y)|dy < \infty.$$

Assumption (1.2) implies $a \in L^1$; so the divergence-free condition gives (see [4])

(1.3)
$$\int a(y)dy = 0.$$

Furthermore, it is shown in [2] that in this case the solution u satisfies

(1.4)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \left\| u_j(t) + (\partial_k E_t)(\cdot) \int y_k a_j(y) dy + F_{\ell,jk}(\cdot,t) \int_0^\infty \int (u_\ell u_k)(y,s) dy ds \right\|_2 = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$, where

$$E_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-|x|^2/4t}, \qquad F_{\ell,jk}(x,t) = \partial_\ell E_t(x) \delta_{jk} + \int_t^\infty \partial_\ell \partial_j \partial_k E_s(x) ds.$$

(Hereafter, we use the summation convention.) Equation (NS) is then written in the form

$$u_j(x,t) = \int E_t(x-y)a_j(y)dy - \int_0^t \int F_{\ell,jk}(x-y,t-s)(u_\ell u_k)(y,s)dyds, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n,$$

as proved in [2]; and the integrals in (1.4) are finite, due to (1.1) and (1.2). Assertion (1.4) was first proved in [1] for smooth solutions when n = 3, and then extended in [2] to the case of weak solutions in all space dimensions by applying the spectral method as given in [3,5].

The argument of [10] suggests that the decay property (1.1) will be optimal in general. So we are interested in finding a class of weak solutions u satisfying the reverse estimate

 $||u(t)||_2 \ge Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$ at least for large t.

In this paper we discuss this kind of *lower bound problem*.

Theorem A. Under the assumption (1.2), let

$$b_{k\ell} = \int y_\ell a_k(y) dy, \qquad c_{k\ell} = \int_0^\infty \int (u_\ell u_k)(y,s) dy ds.$$

(i) We have

(1.5)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \|u(t)\|_2 = 0$$

if and only if $(b_{k\ell}) = 0$ and $(c_{k\ell}) = (c\delta_{k\ell})$ for some constant $c \ge 0$.

(ii) There exists c' > 0 such that

(1.6)
$$||u(t)||_2 \ge c't^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$
 for large $t > 0$,

if and only if $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$ or $(c_{k\ell}) \neq (c\delta_{k\ell})$. In particular, u satisfies (1.6) whenever $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$.

Remark. Theorem A (i) implies only that

(1.5')
$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \|u(t)\|_2 > 0$$

if and only if $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$ or $(c_{k\ell}) \neq (c\delta_{k\ell})$. Note, however, that our second assertion (1.6) is more stringent than (1.5'). Moreover, (1.6) holds for all large t > 0 and for all space dimensions, although $||u(t)||_2$ is only known to be lower semicontinuous when $n \geq 5$. We know nothing about the characterization of solutions satisfying $(c_{k\ell}) = (c\delta_{k\ell})$.

We next consider weak solutions u satisfying

(1.7)
$$\|u(t)\|_2 \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}.$$

As shown in [3,6,10], such solutions exist for all $a \in L^2$ satisfying

(1.8)
$$\nabla \cdot a = 0, \qquad \|e^{-tA}a\|_2 \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}.$$

Theorem B. Suppose a satisfies (1.8) and let u be a weak solution satisfying (1.7). Then

(1.9)
$$||u(t)||_2 \ge ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} \quad for \ large \ t > 0,$$

if and only if

(1.10)
$$||e^{-tA}a||_2 \ge ct^{-\frac{n}{4}}$$
 for large $t > 0$.

The lemma below gives simple examples of a satisfying (1.10).

Lemma. Let $a \in L^2$, $\nabla \cdot a = 0$, and suppose that

(1.11)
$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |\widehat{a}(r,\omega)|^2 d\omega \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+), \qquad \liminf_{r \to 0} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\widehat{a}(r,\omega)|^2 d\omega > 0,$$

where the Fourier transform \hat{a} is defined by

$$\hat{a}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} a(x) dx, \qquad i = \sqrt{-1},$$

 S^{n-1} is the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\xi = (r, \omega)$ in polar coordinates. Then,

(1.12)
$$\|e^{-tA}a\|_2 \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}$$
 for all $t > 0$; $\|e^{-tA}a\|_2 \ge c't^{-\frac{n}{4}}$ for large $t > 0$,
with constants $C > 0$ and $c' > 0$ independent of t .

Proof. Parseval's relation gives

$$\|e^{-tA}a\|_{2}^{2} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{-2t|\xi|^{2}} |\hat{a}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi = (8\pi^{2}t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int e^{-|\eta|^{2}} |\hat{a}(\eta(2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}})|^{2} d\eta$$

so that

$$(8\pi^{2}t)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|e^{-tA}a\|_{2}^{2} = \int e^{-|\eta|^{2}} |\hat{a}(\eta(2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}})|^{2} d\eta.$$

The assumption and Fatou's lemma together imply

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{t \to \infty} (8\pi^2 t)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|e^{-tA}a\|_2^2 &= \liminf_{t \to \infty} \int e^{-|\eta|^2} |\hat{a}(\eta(2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}})|^2 d\eta \\ &\geq \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} \left(\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\hat{a}(r(2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \omega)|^2 d\omega\right) r^{n-1} dr > 0. \end{split}$$

This proves the second estimate of (1.12). The first estimate follows from $||e^{-tA}a||_2 \leq ||a||_2$ and

$$\|e^{-tA}a\|_{2}^{2} = (8\pi^{2}t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int e^{-|\eta|^{2}} |\hat{a}(\eta(2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}})|^{2} d\eta \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left\| \int_{S^{n-1}} |\hat{a}(\cdot,\omega)|^{2} d\omega \right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^{2}} r^{n-1} dr.$$

The proof is complete.

Remarks. (i) Condition (1.11) implies that \hat{a} is discontinuous at $\xi = 0$. Indeed, since $\nabla \cdot a = 0$, we have $\xi \cdot \hat{a}(\xi) = 0$; so if \hat{a} is continuous at $\xi = 0$, we get $\omega \cdot \hat{a}(0) = 0$ for all unit vectors ω , and $\hat{a}(0) = 0$. (For this reason, $a \in L^1$ implies (1.3).)

(ii) The assumption of Lemma is not vacuous. Indeed, suppose \hat{a} is written in the form

$$\widehat{a}(\xi) = f(|\xi|)g(\xi/|\xi|),$$

in terms of functions f and g such that

$$g \in L^2(S^{n-1}), \qquad g \not\equiv 0, \qquad \omega \cdot g(\omega) \equiv 0 \quad (\omega \in S^{n-1})$$

and

$$f \in BC([0,\infty)), \qquad \int_0^\infty |f(r)|^2 r^{n-1} dr < \infty, \qquad f(0) \neq 0.$$

Then, \hat{a} satisfies condition (1.11).

(iii) In this connection, we note that under condition (1.2) we have

(1.10')
$$||e^{-tA}a||_2 \ge ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$
 for large $t > 0$

if and only if $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$. Indeed, using (1.2) and (1.3), we have (see Section 4)

(1.4')
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \| e^{-tA} a_k + \partial_\ell E_t b_{k\ell} \|_2 = 0, \qquad k = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Suppose $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$. Then $(\sum_k \|\partial_\ell E_t b_{k\ell}\|_2^2)^{1/2} = Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$ with C > 0; so we get

$$\|e^{-tA}a\|_{2} \ge \left(\sum_{k} \|\partial_{\ell}E_{t}b_{k\ell}\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{k} \|e^{-tA}a_{k} + \partial_{\ell}E_{t}b_{k\ell}\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \ge ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$

for large t > 0. Conversely, if we assume (1.10'), then by (1.4') we get

$$\left(\sum_{k} \|\partial_{\ell} E_{t} b_{k\ell}\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \ge \|e^{-tA}a\|_{2} - \left(\sum_{k} \|e^{-tA}a_{k} + \partial_{\ell} E_{t} b_{k\ell}\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \ge ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$

for large t > 0. Hence $\sum_k \|\partial_\ell E_t b_{k\ell}\|_2^2 > 0$ for large t > 0, which implies $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$.

The L^2 decay problem for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations was successfully studied for the first time by [5] and the result was then systematically developed by [3,10]. Estimates (1.6) and (1.9) are studied in [6,7,8] in case n = 2, 3, and some sufficient conditions are obtained. Our Theorems A and B provide necessary and sufficient conditions for those estimates to hold. We further note that our lower bound estimates (1.6) and (1.9) hold in all space dimensions $n \ge 2$, although the function $||u(t)||_2$ is known only to be lower semicontinuous when $n \ge 3$. As will be seen in the proof below, this is due to (1.4) and the fact that the functions $\partial_{\ell} E_t(x)$ and $F_{\ell,jk}(x,t)$ are written in the form $t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}K(xt^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ in terms of some bounded, integrable and uniformly continuous functions K.

We finally consider an example of two-dimensional flows u with $(b_{k\ell}) = 0$, $(c_{k\ell}) = (c\delta_{k\ell})$, which was first treated by [7].

Theorem C. When n = 2, there is a smooth weak solution u such that $(b_{k\ell}) = 0$, $(c_{k\ell}) = (c\delta_{k\ell})$, and, with some constant $\gamma > 0$,

(1.13) $||u(t)||_q \le C_q e^{-\gamma t}$ and $|u(x,t)| \le C_m e^{-\gamma t} (1+|x|)^{-m}$

for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and all integers $m \geq 0$.

The above example was studied by [7,8], in which is given the exponential decay of $||u(t)||_q$ for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$. Our estimates (1.13) include the case $1 \leq q < 2$ as well as the decay estimates in the spatial direction.

In what follows we prove Theorems A and B. A proof of Theorem C is given in [2] and so omitted here. We conclude the paper with the proof of (1.4) which was given also in [2].

2. Proof of Theorem A

We begin with the following

Proposition 2.1. Let $(b_{k\ell})$ and $(c_{k\ell})$ be real $n \times n$ matrices and let $(c_{k\ell})$ be symmetric. Then

(2.1)
$$b_{k\ell}\partial_{\ell}E_t(x)\delta_{jk} + c_{k\ell}F_{\ell,jk}(x,t) = 0, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for some t > 0, if and only if

(2.2)
$$(b_{k\ell}) = 0$$
 and $(c_{k\ell}) = (c\delta_{k\ell})$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, (2.2) implies that (2.1) holds for all x and for all t > 0.

Proof. Assumption (2.1) implies

$$b_{k\ell}\xi_{\ell}e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}\delta_{jk} = -c_{k\ell}\xi_{\ell}\left(e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}\delta_{jk} - \xi_{j}\xi_{k}\int_{t}^{\infty}e^{-s|\xi|^{2}}ds\right) = -(c_{j\ell} - |\xi|^{-2}c_{k\ell}\xi_{j}\xi_{k})\xi_{\ell}e^{-t|\xi|^{2}}$$

for some t > 0, and we get $|\xi|^2 (b_{j\ell} + c_{j\ell})\xi_\ell = \xi_j c_{k\ell}\xi_k\xi_\ell$. Taking $\xi_j = 0$ for any fixed j, $\xi_\ell = 1$ for any fixed $\ell \neq j$, and $\xi_k = 0$ for all k such that $k \neq j$ and $k \neq \ell$, we easily obtain $b_{j\ell} + c_{j\ell} = 0$ whenever $j \neq \ell$, and so

$$|\xi|^2 (b_{jj} + c_{jj})\xi_j = \xi_j c_{k\ell} \xi_k \xi_\ell, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n$$

We let $\xi_j = 1$ and $\xi_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$, to get $b_{jj} + c_{jj} = c_{jj}$; so $b_{jj} = 0$. This implies

(2.3)
$$|\xi|^2 c_{jj} \xi_j = \xi_j c_{k\ell} \xi_k \xi_\ell, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Hence, $c_{11} = \cdots = c_{nn} = c_{k\ell} \xi_k \xi_\ell |\xi|^{-2}$. We then set j = 1, $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 1$ and $\xi_k = 0$ for $k \ge 3$ in (2.3), to get $2c_{11} = c_{11} + c_{22} + c_{12} + c_{21} = 2(c_{11} + c_{12})$ since $c_{k\ell} = c_{\ell k}$ by assumption. Therefore, $c_{12} = 0$. We thus obtain $c_{j\ell} = 0 = -b_{j\ell}$ whenever $j \ne \ell$; so $(b_{k\ell}) = 0$ and $(c_{k\ell}) = (c\delta_{k\ell})$. That (2.2) implies (2.1) for all t > 0 is easily seen from

$$F_{k,jk} = \partial_j E_t + \int_t^\infty \partial_j \Delta E_s ds = \partial_j E_t + \int_t^\infty \partial_j \partial_s E_s ds = \partial_j E_t - \partial_j E_t = 0,$$

where $\partial_s = \partial/\partial s$. The proof of Proposition 2.1 is complete.

To establish Theorem A, it suffices in view of (1.4) to prove the following

Proposition 2.2. Let a satisfy (1.2) and define

$$b_{k\ell} = \int y_\ell a_k(y) dy, \qquad c_{k\ell} = \int_0^\infty \int (u_\ell u_k)(y,s) dy ds.$$

Then we have

(2.4)
$$either$$
 $(b_{k\ell}) \neq 0$ or $(c_{k\ell}) \neq (c\delta_{k\ell}),$

if and only if a corresponding weak solution u satisfies

(2.5)
$$||u(t)||_2 \ge c't^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$
 for large $t > 0$

with a constant c' > 0 independent of t.

Proof. In what follows we write

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} = (b_{1\ell}, \cdots, b_{n\ell}), \qquad \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k} = (F_{\ell,1k}, \cdots, F_{\ell,nk}).$$

Assume first (2.4). By Proposition 2.1, we have $\|\partial_{\ell} E_t \boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k} c_{k\ell}\|_2 = Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$ for all t > 0 with some C > 0, and so (1.4) implies

$$||u(t)||_{2} \geq ||\partial_{\ell}E_{t}\boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}||_{2} - ||u(t) + \partial_{\ell}E_{t}\boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}||_{2}$$
$$= Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} - o(t^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}) \geq c't^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$

for large t > 0. Assume next (2.5). By (1.4) we have

$$\|\partial_{\ell} E_{t} \boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k} c_{k\ell}\|_{2} \ge \|u(t)\|_{2} - \|u(t) + \partial_{\ell} E_{t} \boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k} c_{k\ell}\|_{2} \ge c't^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} - o(t^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}),$$

and so

$$\left\|\partial_{\ell} E_t \boldsymbol{b}_{\ell} + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k} c_{k\ell}\right\|_2 > 0 \quad \text{for large } t > 0.$$

We thus obtain (2.4) by Proposition 2.1. This proves Proposition 2.2.

3. Proof of Theorem B

Suppose that $n \geq 3$. We have

$$c_{k\ell} = \int_0^\infty \int (u_\ell u_k)(y,s) dy ds < \infty ;$$

so the argument given in [2,Sect. 5] applies to our present situation, implying

(3.1)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \left\| u(t) - e^{-tA}a + F_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell} \right\|_2 = 0.$$

Suppose (1.9) holds. Since $\|\boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}\|_2 = Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tA}a\|_{2} &\geq \|u(t)\|_{2} - \|-u(t) + e^{-tA}a - \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell} + \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}\|_{2} \\ &\geq \|u(t)\|_{2} - \|u(t) - e^{-tA}a + \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}\|_{2} - \|\mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}\|_{2} \\ &\geq ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} - Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \geq c't^{-\frac{n}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

for large t > 0. This proves (1.10). Conversely, if (1.10) holds, then (3.1) implies

$$\|u(t)\|_{2} \geq \|e^{-tA}a\|_{2} - \|F_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}\|_{2} - \|u(t) - e^{-tA}a + F_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}\|_{2}$$
$$\geq ct^{-\frac{n}{4}} - Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \geq c't^{-\frac{n}{4}}$$

for large t > 0. This proves (1.9) in case $n \ge 3$.

When n = 2, we introduce

$$c_{k\ell}(t) = \int_0^{t/2} \int (u_\ell u_k)(y,s) dy ds$$

instead of $c_{k\ell}$. The argument of [2,Sect. 5] is then modified to yield

(3.1')
$$\|u(t) - e^{-tA}a + \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}(t)\|_2 \le Ct^{-1}\log(1+t).$$

Since

$$\|\boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}c_{k\ell}(t)\|_{2} \leq Ct^{-1} \int_{0}^{t/2} \|u(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds \leq Ct^{-1} \log(1+t),$$

this implies $||u(t) - e^{-tA}a||_2 \leq Ct^{-1}\log(1+t)$. Now we can prove the result in the same way as in the case $n \geq 3$. Indeed, (1.10) implies

$$\|u(t)\|_{2} \ge \|e^{-tA}a\|_{2} - \|u(t) - e^{-tA}a\|_{2} \ge ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} - Ct^{-1}\log(1+t) \ge c't^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for large t > 0, while (1.9) yields

$$\|e^{-tA}a\|_{2} \ge \|u(t)\|_{2} - \|u(t) - e^{-tA}\|_{2} \ge ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} - Ct^{-1}\log(1+t) \ge c't^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for large t > 0. The proof of Theorem B is complete.

4. Proof of (1.4)

Here we present the proof of (1.4) given in [2]. The same method can be applied to the proof of (3.1) and (3.1'). Let a satisfy (1.2) and so (1.3). We first prove

(4.1)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \left\| e^{-tA} a + (\partial_k E_t)(\cdot) \int y_k a(y) dy \right\|_2 = 0.$$

Direct calculation gives

$$e^{-tA}a = \int [E_t(x-y) - E_t(x)]a(y)dy = -\iint_0^1 (\partial_k E_t)(x-y\theta)y_k a(y)d\theta dy$$
$$= -(\partial_k E_t)(x) \int y_k a(y)dy - \iint_0^1 [(\partial_k E_t)(x-y\theta) - (\partial_k E_t)(x)]y_k a(y)d\theta dy,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$e^{-tA}a + (\partial_k E_t)(x) \int y_k a(y) dy = -\iint_0^1 [(\partial_k E_t)(x - y\theta) - (\partial_k E_t)(x)] y_k a(y) d\theta dy$$

We can write $(\partial_k E_t)(x) = t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} (\partial_k E_1)(xt^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, to obtain

$$\left\|e^{-tA}a + (\partial_k E_t)(\cdot)\int y_k a(y)dy\right\|_2 \le Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \iint_0^1 \varphi_t(y,\theta)|y||a(y)|d\theta dy.$$

Here $\varphi_t(y,\theta) = \|(\nabla E_1)(\cdot - y\theta t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - (\nabla E_1)(\cdot)\|_2$ is bounded and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi_t(y,\theta) = 0$ for any fixed (y,θ) . Since |y||a(y)| is integrable by (1.2), the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\iint_0^1\varphi_t(y,\theta)|y||a(y)|d\theta dy=0.$$

This proves (4.1). Now let u satisfy (1.1). We next show that the function

$$w(t) = u(t) - e^{-tA}a = -\int_0^t \int F_{\ell,k}(x - y, t - s)(u_\ell u_k)(y, s)dyds$$

satisfies

(4.2)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \left\| w(t) + \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}(\cdot,t) \int_0^\infty \int (u_\ell u_k)(y,s) dy ds \right\|_2 = 0.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{split} w(t) + \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x,t) \int_{0}^{\infty} \int (u_{\ell}u_{k})(y,s) dy ds \\ &= \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x,t) \int_{t/2}^{\infty} \int (u_{\ell}u_{k})(y,s) dy ds \\ &- \int_{0}^{t/2} \int [\mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x-y,t-s) - \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x,t-s)](u_{\ell}u_{k})(y,s) dy ds \\ &- \int_{0}^{t/2} \int [\mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x,t-s) - \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x,t)](u_{\ell}u_{k})(y,s) dy ds \\ &- \int_{t/2}^{t} \int \mathbf{F}_{\ell,k}(x-y,t-s)(u_{\ell}u_{k})(y,s) dy ds \\ &\equiv I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3} + I_{4}. \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that

(4.3)
$$t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \|I_1\|_2 \le C \int_{t/2}^{\infty} (1+s)^{-1-\frac{n}{2}} ds \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

We write I_3 in the form

$$I_3 = \int_0^{t/2} \iint_0^1 s(\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k})(x,t-s\theta)(u_\ell u_k)(y,s)d\theta dy ds$$

to get

$$\|I_3\|_2 \le C \int_0^{t/2} \iint_0^1 s(t-s\theta)^{-1-\frac{n+2}{4}} |u(y,s)|^2 d\theta dy ds \le Ct^{-1-\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_0^{t/2} s \|u(s)\|_2^2 ds$$

and so

(4.4)
$$t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \|I_3\|_2 \le Ct^{-1} \int_0^t (1+s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} ds \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

To estimate I_2 , note that we can write $\boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}(x,t) = t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}K(xt^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, to get

$$\|I_2\|_2 \leq Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_0^{t/2} \int \|K(\cdot - y(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) - K(\cdot)\|_2 |u(y,s)|^2 dy ds$$

$$\equiv Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_0^{t/2} \int \varphi_t(y,s) |u(y,s)|^2 dy ds \equiv Ct^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \int_0^{t/2} \psi_t(s) ds$$

Since $\psi_t(s) \leq C \|u(s)\|_2^2$, the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^M \psi_t(s) ds = 0 \qquad \text{for any fixed } M > 0.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose M > 0 so that $\int_M^\infty \|u(s)\|_2^2 ds < \varepsilon$. Then for t > 2M,

$$\int_{0}^{t/2} \psi_{t}(s) ds \leq \int_{0}^{M} \psi_{t}(s) ds + C \int_{M}^{\infty} \|u(s)\|_{2}^{2} ds \leq \int_{0}^{M} \psi_{t}(s) ds + C\varepsilon.$$

This implies that

(4.5)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \|I_2\|_2 = 0$$

It remains to prove

(4.6)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \|I_4\|_2 = 0$$

To do so, we follow the arguments of [3,5]. The function

$$v(t) = -\int_{\tau}^{t} \int \boldsymbol{F}_{\ell,k}(x-y,t-s)(u_{\ell}u_{k})(y,s)dyds = u(t) - e^{-(t-\tau)A}u(\tau)$$

defined for $t \ge \tau > 0$ satisfies

$$\partial_t v + Av = -P(u \cdot \nabla u) \quad (t > \tau), \qquad v(\tau) = 0.$$

(We may assume v is smooth, replacing u by the approximate solutions u_N given in [3].) Since $(P(u \cdot \nabla v), v) = (u \cdot \nabla v, v) = 0$, the standard energy integral method gives

$$\partial_t \|v\|_2^2 + 2\|A^{1/2}v\|_2^2 = -2(u \cdot \nabla u, v) = 2(u \cdot \nabla v, u) = 2(u \cdot \nabla v, u_0)$$

and

$$2|(u \cdot \nabla v, u_0)| \leq 2||u||_2 ||A^{1/2}v||_2 ||u_0||_{\infty} \leq C||u||_2 ||A^{1/2}v||_2 (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}} \tau^{-\frac{n+2}{4}}$$

$$\leq C||A^{1/2}v||_2 (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \tau^{-\frac{n+2}{4}} \leq ||A^{1/2}v||_2^2 + C(t-\tau)^{-n-1} \tau^{-1-\frac{n}{2}},$$

where $u_0(t) = e^{-(t-\tau)A}u(\tau)$. We thus obtain

$$\partial_t \|v\|_2^2 + \|A^{1/2}v\|_2^2 \le C(t-\tau)^{-n-1}\tau^{-1-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Let $\{E_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda\geq 0}$ be the spectral measure associated to A. Since $||A^{1/2}v||_2^2 \geq \varrho(||v||_2^2 - ||E_{\varrho}v||_2^2)$ for any $\varrho > 0$, the above estimate yields

$$\partial_t \|v\|_2^2 + \varrho \|v\|_2^2 \le \varrho \|E_{\varrho}v\|_2^2 + C(t-\tau)^{-n-1}\tau^{-1-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

But, $||E_{\varrho}v||_{2}^{2} \leq C \varrho^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\int_{\tau}^{t} ||u||_{2}^{2} ds\right)^{2}$ as shown in [3,5]; so

$$\partial_t \|v\|_2^2 + \varrho \|v\|_2^2 \le \varrho^{\frac{n+4}{2}} \left(\int_{\tau}^t \|u\|_2^2 ds\right)^2 + C(t-\tau)^{-n-1} \tau^{-1-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Here we set $\rho = m/(t-\tau)$, m > 0, and multiply both sides by $(t-\tau)^m$, to obtain

$$\partial_t ((t-\tau)^m \|v\|_2^2) \le C_m (t-\tau)^{m-\frac{n}{2}-2} \left(\int_{\tau}^t \|u\|_2^2 ds \right)^2 + C(t-\tau)^{m-n-1} \tau^{-1-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Now fix m so that m > n/2 + 2 and m > n + 1, and integrate the above inequality, to get

$$\|v(t)\|_{2}^{2} \leq C(t-\tau)^{-2-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\tau}^{t} \left(\int_{\tau}^{s} \|u\|_{2}^{2} d\sigma\right)^{2} ds + C(t-\tau)^{-n} \tau^{-1-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Inserting $\tau = t/2$ yields $v(t) = I_4$, so

$$t^{n+\frac{n}{2}} \|I_4\|_2^2 \le Ct^{n-1} \left(\int_{t/2}^\infty \|u\|_2^2 ds \right)^2 + Ct^{-1} \le Ct^{-1} \to 0$$

as $t \to \infty$. This proves (4.6).

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor Jindrich Necas for valuable discussions on the problem treated in this paper. We also thank Dr. Kenji Nakanishi for showing us the examples given in Lemma. The first author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 10440045, from the Japan Ministry of Education.

References

- A. Carpio, Large-time behavior in incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 27 (1996), 449–475.
- [2] Y. Fujigaki and T. Miyakawa, Asymptotic profiles of nonstationary incompressible Navier-Stokes flows in \mathbb{R}^n . Preprint, Kobe University, 2000.
- [3] R. Kajikiya and T. Miyakawa, On L^2 decay of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n . Math. Z. 192 (1986), 135–148.
- [4] T. Miyakawa, Application of Hardy space techniques to the time-decay problem for incompressible Navier-Stokes flows in Rⁿ. Funkcial. Ekvac. 41 (1998), 383-434.

- [5] M. E. Schonbek, L² decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 88 (1985), 209-222.
- [6] M. E. Schonbek, Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. Commun. Partial Diff. Eq. 11 (1986), 733-763.
- [7] M. E. Schonbek, Lower bounds of rates of decay for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 423-449.
- [8] M. E. Schonbek, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 41 (1992), 809-823.
- [9] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
- [10] M. Wiegner, Decay results for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in ℝⁿ. J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1987), 303-313.

Tetsuro MIYAKAWA Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Kobe University Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, JAPAN miyakawa@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

Maria Elena SCHONBEK Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA schonbek@math.ucsc.edu