

# POINCARÉ'S INEQUALITY AND DIFFUSIVE EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

CLAYTON BJORLAND AND MARIA E. SCHONBEK

ABSTRACT. This paper addresses the question of change of decay rate from exponential to algebraic for diffusive evolution equations. We show how the behaviour of the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian in the two cases yields the passage from exponential decay in bounded domains to algebraic decay or no decay at all in the case of unbounded domains. It is well known that such rates of decay exist: the purpose of this paper is to explain what makes the change in decay happen. We also discuss what kind of data is needed to obtain various decay rates.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to address the following two questions:

- What makes solutions to diffusive evolution equations, with underlying linear part modelled by the heat equation, dramatically jump from exponential decay, when considered on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , to algebraic decay or decay without a rate when considered on the whole of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This will be referred to the *decay-change phenomenon* (DCP).
- What conditions are required on the data to ensure specific rates of energy decay?

It is well known, that solutions to the heat equation (and solutions to similar linear second-order parabolic partial differential equations) defined on a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , with initial datum  $u_0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and subject to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition, decay exponentially in the  $L^2(\Omega)$  norm. This is an easy consequence of the Poincaré inequality:

$$\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \lambda_1 \|v\|_2^2 \leq \|\nabla v\|_2^2,$$

where  $\lambda_1 > 0$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\Omega$ , defined by

$$-\Delta : v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mapsto -\Delta v \in H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

It turns out that  $\lambda_1 := C_0/d^2$ , where  $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$  is the diameter of  $\Omega$  and  $C_0$  is a positive constant dependent only on the shape, but not the diameter of  $\Omega$ . This is easily seen by performing the change of variable  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \hat{\Omega} = \frac{1}{d}(\Omega - x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $x_0$  is the barycenter of  $\Omega$ , and noting that  $\text{diam}(\hat{\Omega}) = 1$ . Thus, as the domain grows,  $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1 = 0$ , and the Poincaré inequality is lost in the limit of  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76B03.

*Key words and phrases.* Poincaré, evolution equations, decay of solutions.

The work of Maria Schonbek was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0600692.

The work of Clayton BJORLAND was partially supported by NSF grant OISE-0630623.

Unsurprisingly, on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  one can construct solutions to the homogeneous heat equation that decay at a very slow algebraic rate, and even ones that decay but without any rate. Moreover, we can find, for each time  $T > 0$  and each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , a solution  $u$  to the homogeneous heat equation  $u_t - \Delta u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with initial datum  $u_0 \in V_\beta := \{v : \|v\|_2^2 = \beta < \infty\}$  such that

$$\frac{\|u(\cdot, T)\|}{\beta} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

One can also show the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists no function  $G : (0, \infty)^2 \mapsto G(t, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that, if  $u$  is a solution to the homogeneous heat equation  $u_t - \Delta u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with initial datum  $u_0 \in V_\beta$ , then*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \leq G(t, \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} G(t, \beta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \beta > 0.$$

Analogous results hold for solutions to many nonlinear equations with a diffusive term modelled by the Dirichlet Laplacian or a fractional Dirichlet Laplacian, including the Navier–Stokes, Navier–Stokes-alpha, the quasi-geostrophic, and the magneto-hydrodynamics equations, (see, for example, [1], [6]).

To explain the DCP, we shall take a careful look at the behavior of the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian near zero on a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Although the distance to zero of the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\Omega$  decays to zero as the diameter of  $\Omega$  tends to infinity, for any fixed  $\Omega$  the distance of the smallest eigenvalue to the origin remains positive, so the spectrum, which is entirely discrete and

consists of eigenvalues, remains bounded away from zero. This, as we will try to show, is the reason why there is no transition to slower than exponential decay, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , of  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_2$  for solutions  $u$  of the homogeneous heat equation  $u_t - \Delta u = 0$  in bounded domains  $\Omega$ , subject to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. We will clarify this issue by first considering the heat equation in a very simple one-dimensional situation (viz. on bounded intervals), and then extending the results to higher dimensions. For unbounded domains we will derive an extension of the Poincaré inequality, which will highlight the role played by neighborhoods of zero in frequency space.

This extension of the Poincaré inequality will be shown to be optimal in a sense that will be explained below.

The next sections will focus on finding the most general data for solutions to the heat equation in unbounded domains so that the corresponding solutions decay at a specific rate, then extend these ideas to certain nonlinear evolution equations whose solutions satisfy energy inequalities of the type

The final section connects data in Morrey spaces with specific decay rates for both the heat equation and nonlinear evolution equations, such as the Navier-Stokes equations.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

## 2. NOTATION

In this section we collect the notation that will be used throughout the paper. The Fourier transform of  $v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$\widehat{v}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} v(x) \, dx,$$

extended as usual to  $\mathcal{S}'$ . For a function  $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a multi-index  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $D^\gamma v$  denotes differentiation of order  $|\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n$  with respect to the  $n$  (spatial) variables. If  $v$  also depends on time  $t$ , the symbol  $D_t^j v$  is used to denote  $j$ th derivative of  $v$  with respect to  $t$ . If  $k$  is a nonnegative integer,  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  will signify, as is standard, the Sobolev space consisting of functions in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whose generalized derivatives up to order  $k$  belong to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . When  $p = 2$ ,  $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where the space  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  as the space

of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$  such that  $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \widehat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some well-known facts regarding the basis of eigenfunctions for the Dirichlet Laplacian in bounded Lipschitz domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and their connection to the Poincaré inequality.

The next result is well known (see [3], for example).

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is a countable orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\Omega)$  which consists of eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet Laplacian  $-\Delta : H_0^1(\Omega) \mapsto H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . The eigenfunctions belong to  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and the associated eigenvalues are all positive and bounded away from zero.*

To begin, we will suppose that  $n = 1$  and  $\Omega = (-R, R)$ .

*Corollary 3.2.* The set of functions

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2}{R}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{R}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad \sqrt{\frac{2}{R}} \cos\left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi x}{2R}\right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

form an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(-R, R)$ .

*Proof.* In the light of the previous theorem it suffices to seek  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the two-point boundary-value problem

$$\lambda w + \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} = 0, \quad x \in (-R, R), \quad w(-R) = w(R) = 0,$$

has a nontrivial solution  $w \in H^1(-R, R)$ . There is a countable set

$$\{\lambda_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$$

of  $\lambda$ 's for which such functions  $w$  exist. An easy computation shows that

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{R}\right) & \text{when } \lambda = \lambda_n := \left(\frac{n\pi}{R}\right)^2, \quad n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, \\ \cos\left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi x}{2R}\right) & \text{when } \lambda = \tilde{\lambda}_n := \left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2R}\right)^2, \quad n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, due to Theorem 3.1, the collection of functions in the statement of the Corollary form a basis for  $L^2(-R, R)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{\lambda_n, \tilde{\lambda}_n\}_n$  be as defined above. As a consequence of Corollary 3.2, any real-valued function  $u \in L^2(-R, R)$  can be expanded in terms of a countable basis consisting of complex exponentials, as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad u(x) = \frac{1}{2R} \left[ \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \hat{u}(n) \exp\left(i \frac{n\pi}{R} x\right) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\tilde{u}}(n) \exp\left(i \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2R} x\right) \right]$$

Here  $\hat{u}(n) = \hat{u}(-n)$  and  $\hat{\tilde{u}}(n) = -\hat{\tilde{u}}(-n)$  (thus ensuring that  $u(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in (-R, R)$ ), where  $\hat{u}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\hat{\tilde{u}}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are the corresponding Fourier coefficients.

The main fact to note is that there is no term corresponding to  $n = 0$  in the first sum.

Let us now take an atomic measure  $\nu$  supported on the set

$$(3.2) \quad M = \left\{ \left\{ \frac{n\pi}{R} \right\}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}; \left\{ \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2R} \right\}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and let  $\mu_\xi = \frac{1}{2R}\nu$ . Note that  $\min_{\xi \in M} |\xi| = \frac{\pi}{2R}$ . Then, the last expression (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$(3.3) \quad u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}(\xi) \exp(i\xi x) d\mu_\xi = \int_M \hat{u}(\xi) \exp(i\xi x) d\mu_\xi.$$

We chose to write  $u$  as (3.3) so as to ensure that we have a unified notation, regardless of whether we work on  $\Omega = (-R, R)$  or the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, by Parseval's identity,

$$\|u\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} |\hat{u}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\tilde{u}}(n)|^2$$

Suppose now that  $u \in H_0^1(-R, R)$ . Then,

$$\frac{d}{dx} u(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{in\pi}{R} \hat{u}(n) \exp\left(i \frac{n\pi x}{R}\right) + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i(2n+1)\pi}{2R} \hat{\tilde{u}}(n) \exp\left(i \frac{(2n+1)\pi x}{R}\right).$$

Hence the  $L^2(-R, R)$  norm of the derivative is

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \frac{du}{dx} \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=-\infty, n \neq 0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{n\pi}{R} \right|^2 |\hat{u}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2R} \right|^2 |\hat{\tilde{u}}(n)|^2 \geq \left( \frac{\pi}{2R} \right)^2 \|u\|_2^2.$$

On rewriting the last inequality in (3.4) in integral form, using the atomic measure  $\mu_\xi$  defined above, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \frac{du}{dx} \right\|_2^2 = \int_{\{\xi: |\xi| \geq \frac{\pi}{2R}\}} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\mu_\xi \geq \left( \frac{\pi}{2R} \right)^2 \|u\|_2^2.$$

*Remark 3.3.* The results we obtained above on  $\Omega = (-R, R) \subset \mathbb{R}$  can be easily extended to  $\Omega = (-R, R)^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . The eigenfunctions are then products of sines and cosines. Hence we can, again, expand a real-valued function  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$  into a convergent (in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ) infinite series of

complex exponentials. Upon doing so, we can express  $u$  as the integral with respect to an atomic measure  $\mu_\xi$  supported on the countable set that comprises the (discrete) spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian on  $\Omega = (-R, R)^n$ .

$$(3.6) \quad u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{u}(\xi) \exp(i\xi \cdot x) d\mu_\xi = \int_M \widehat{u}(\xi) \exp(i\xi \cdot x) d\mu_\xi.$$

As in the case of  $n = 1$ , the support of the measure  $\mu_\xi$  excludes  $\xi = 0$ .

#### 4. A POINCARÉ-LIKE INEQUALITY ON $\mathbb{R}^n$

Poincaré's inequality is not valid when  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . We shall nevertheless show that a modification of Poincaré's inequality holds on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by using Fourier transform instead of Fourier series

**Theorem 4.1.** *For each  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and any  $\Lambda > 0$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \geq \Lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi - \int_{\{\xi: |\xi| \leq \Lambda\}} (\Lambda^2 - |\xi|^2) |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately by Plancherel's identity and by splitting the frequency domain as

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}^c \quad \text{where } \mathcal{S} = \{\xi : |\xi| \leq \Lambda\},$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathcal{S}^c} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathcal{S}} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \Lambda^2 \int_{\mathcal{S}^c} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathcal{S}} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi = \Lambda^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi - \int_{\mathcal{S}} (\Lambda^2 - |\xi|^2) |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion of the theorem follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Poincaré constant  $C_\Omega$ , that is,

$$C_\Omega := \inf_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{\|\nabla v\|_2}{\|v\|_2}.$$

If in the last theorem we choose  $\Lambda = \frac{\pi}{2R}$ , we recover the Poincaré inequality from the bounded domain  $\Omega = (-R, R)$ , minus an integral in frequency space over an interval of 'radius'  $\Lambda = \left| \frac{\pi}{2R} \right|$  centred at  $\xi = 0$ :

$$\|\nabla u(x)\|_2^2 \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{2R}\right)^2 \|u\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\pi}{2R}\right)^2 \int_{\{|\xi| \leq \frac{\pi}{2R}\}} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\xi.$$

We now show that Poincaré's inequality for the whole space is optimal in the following sense:

**Proposition 4.3.** *Given any  $K > 0$  and any  $\beta > 0$ , if there exist a  $\mu > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{K^2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \mu \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ with } \|u(x)\|_2^2 = \beta$$

then

$$\mu \geq \int_{\{|\xi| \leq K\}} |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \mathcal{A}_K(u).$$

*Proof.* We show by an example in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that given any  $\mu$  we can find a function  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni \|u(x)\|_2^2 = \beta$  for which the corresponding  $\mathcal{A}_K(u)$  is smaller than  $\mu$ . Similar examples can be found in any  $\mathbb{R}^n$  only that the computations are more tiresome.

We will work with the family of functions  $u_\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \alpha e^{-[\frac{\alpha^2|x|^2}{2}]}$ . Straightforward computations shows that

$$(4.1) \quad \|u_\alpha\|_2^2 = \beta \quad \forall \alpha \text{ and } \widehat{u}_\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \alpha^{-1} e^{-[\frac{\alpha^2|\xi|^2}{2}]}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \|\nabla u_\alpha\|_2^2 = \beta \alpha^2 \text{ and } \int_{\{|\xi| \leq K\}} |\widehat{u}_\alpha(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \beta(1 - \epsilon^{[\frac{K^2}{\alpha^2}]})$$

Now using (4.1) and (4.2) in the Poincaré inequality for the whole space gives

$$(4.3) \quad \beta \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{K^2} \pi + \beta(1 - \epsilon^{[\frac{K^2}{\alpha^2}]})$$

Let  $\beta(1 - \epsilon^{[\frac{K^2}{\alpha^2}]}) = \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$

We want to show that if

$$(4.4) \quad \beta \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{K^2} \beta + \mu$$

then  $\mu \geq \beta(1 - \epsilon^{[\frac{K^2}{\alpha^2}]})$

When  $\alpha \geq K^2$  then the first term in the RHS in (4.3) is larger than the LHS of the inequality so  $\mu = 0$  will suffice to have the

$$(4.5) \quad \beta \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{K^2} \pi + \mu$$

We need to show that

$$(4.6) \quad \mu \geq \mathcal{B}(\alpha) = \beta(1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{K^2})$$

for all  $\alpha$ , in particular if we take  $\alpha = \frac{K^2}{2}$  it follows that it is necessary that  $\mu \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Noting that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{B}(\alpha) = 0$$

it follows easily that we can find  $\alpha$  close to zero so that  $\mathcal{B}(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}$   $\square$

**4.1. Poincaré for Poisson type equations.** We now describe a different way we can write a Poincaré type inequality for the whole space. We will call this a “Fake Poincaré inequality” (FPI)

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then there exist constants  $K$  and  $\alpha < 1$  so that*

$$(1 - \alpha)\|u\|_2^2 \leq K^{-2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \quad (\text{FPI})$$

*Proof.* Use Poincaré for the whole space with  $\alpha = \frac{\int_{S_K} \widehat{u} \, d\xi}{\|u\|_2^2}$ . Where  $S_k = \{\xi : |\xi| \leq K\}$  and we choose a  $K$  so that  $\int_{S_K} \widehat{u} \, d\xi < \|u\|_2^2$ .  $\square$

We now show how (FPI) can be used to obtain a Poincaré inequality for solutions to appropriate differential equations.

*Example 4.5.* Given some constant  $M$ ,

let  $\mathcal{V}_m^\beta = \{f \in L^2 : |\widehat{f}| \leq M|\xi|^m, \text{ for } |\xi| \leq \beta\}$

Let  $m \geq k$ . Suppose  $u$  satisfies

$$(4.7) \quad D^k u = f$$

$$(4.8) \quad f \in \mathcal{V}_m^\beta, \text{ some } m, \text{ and } \beta$$

$$(4.9) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u = 0$$

then

$$\|u\|_2^2 \leq 2\|\nabla u\|_2^2$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \{\xi : |\xi| \leq \alpha\}$  and  $M_o = M|\omega|$ , where  $|\omega|$  is the measure of the unit sphere.

Note that

- $\widehat{u} = \frac{\widehat{f}}{|\xi|^{2k}}$
- $\int_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha} |\widehat{u}|^2 \, d\xi = \int_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha} \frac{|\widehat{f}|^2}{|\xi|^{4k}} \, d\xi = M_o \beta^{2m-2k+n}$
- Let  $\beta_o$  be such that  $M_o \beta_o^{2m-2k+n} \leq \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{2}$

Then if we apply (FPI) the conclusion of the example follows.  $\square$

*Remark 4.6.* Note that  $\mathcal{V}_m^\beta \subset L^2 \cap I_m$ , where  $I_m = \{f : I_m(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ , with  $I_m$  the Riesz potential of order  $m$  for the function  $f$ .

4.2. **Poincaré and decay.** Let  $u(x, t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq -C \|\nabla u\|_2^2$$

The Poincaré and the modified Poincaré inequalities can be applied (for bounded or unbounded domains respectively) to the RHS of last inequality.

For bounded domains to express the Fourier series as a Fourier integral, one uses a measure which has discrete support. Since zero is not in the support the decay will be the modified Poincaré inequality for the whole space that will induce the passage from exponential to algebraic decay or decay without a rate.

To get decay on the whole space for solutions  $u$  of the inequality (4.10) we use Fourier splitting.

This method applied to the whole domain, shows that we can look at frequencies near the origin in balls that depend on time. More precisely Fourier splitting is just an application of the modified Poincaré inequality in the whole space

where we have chosen  $\Lambda = \Lambda(t)$  appropriately.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $u$  be a solution to*

$$(4.11) \quad u_t = NL(u) + \Delta u$$

$$(4.12) \quad u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

*In addition suppose that the following properties hold*

- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \cdot NL(u) dx = 0$
- $|\widehat{u}_o(\xi)| \leq C|\xi|^k$  for  $|\xi| \ll 1$ , some  $k \geq 0$
- $NL(u)$  can be approximated in  $L^2$  by  $NL(u_n)$  where  $u_n$  are sufficiently smooth.

*Then*

*there exist a constant  $C = C(u_o)$  so that*

$$\|u(t)\|_2 \leq C(t+1)^{-\frac{n+2k}{4}}$$

*Proof.* Apply Poincaré for whole space and then Fourier Splitting to approximating sequences of solutions. See [5] for this method. Then pass to the limit.  $\square$

*Remark 4.8.* We note that the same theorem can be applied in case that diffusion is described by  $(-\Delta)^\alpha u$  with  $\alpha$  fractional, or by  $D^m u$ . The decay rates will have to be changes appropriately.

## 5. CLASSIFICATION OF DATA

As seen through Theorem 1.1, for arbitrary data just in  $L^2$  there is no specific decay rate for solutions of the heat equation. That is for any fixed energy value we can find data which leads to a solution whose heat energy decays arbitrarily fast or slow. Hence, the energy decay rate is dependent on the actual form of the data and not on initial energy. In this section we characterize the type of data which leads to exponential or algebraic decay.

It is known that the structure of the data near the origin in Fourier space dictates the rate of heat energy decay and our theorems rely on this relation. In particular



we show that exponential decay can occur if and only if the data is zero in some neighborhood of the origin in Fourier space, we show that if a Riesz potential of the initial data is in  $L^1$  this can determine decay rate, and finally we introduce a way to find what type of polynomial “best” describes the data near the origin and use this to determine decay rates. The last piece relies of finding a unique decay character for any  $L^2$  function by examining the Fourier transform near the origin, this can be used to determine the algebraic decay rate exactly. We first will analyze solutions to the Heat equation then extend the results to a more general setting of parabolic equations which have a Laplacian linear part.

The starting idea is that functions with Fourier transform equal to zero near the origin decay exponentially, this suggests that a band pass filter will be useful in characterizing such functions.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Given  $\rho > 0$ , let  $\chi_\rho(\xi)$  be the cut-off function equal to 1 when  $|\xi_j| \leq \rho_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and equal to zero elsewhere. A function  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies  $\hat{u}(\xi) = 0$  for a.e.  $|\xi_j| < \rho_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  if and only if  $u = v - H_\rho * v$  a.e. for some  $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $H_\rho(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n H_{\rho_j}(x_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin \rho_j x_j}{x_j}$ . (Here  $H$  is used to denote a high pass filter).*

*Proof.* This proof is quickly checked by noting the Fourier transform of  $H_\rho * u$  is  $\chi_\rho \hat{u}$ . □

The following theorem establishes that a solution to the Heat equation decays exponentially if and only if its initial data is zero a.e. in some possibly small ball centered at the origin in Fourier space. Such functions have a particular form in the original space, shown by the previous lemma. This characterizes all data which leads to exponential decay of heat energy.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The solution of the Heat equation with initial data  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfies the decay bound  $\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq Ce^{-t\alpha^2}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $C > 0$  if and only if the initial data is of the form  $u_0 = v_0 - H_\rho * v_0$  for some  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* “ $\Leftarrow$ ”

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\xi : |\xi_j| < \rho_j\}$

Assume  $u_0 = v_0 - H_\rho * v_0$ , by the previous lemma  $|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 = 0$  for a.e.  $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi + \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathcal{B}^c} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi + e^{-2\bar{\rho}^2 t} \int_{\mathcal{B}^c} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi + e^{-2\bar{\rho}^2 t} \|\hat{u}_0\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\bar{\rho} = \min\{\rho_j | j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . By assumption the first integral on the RHS is zero.

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”

By way of contradiction assume there is an  $\alpha$  and a  $C$  so that  $\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq Ce^{-t\alpha^2}$  and  $\int_{|\xi| < \rho} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi > 0$  for all  $\rho > 0$ . Then, for  $\rho = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , there is a  $c > 0$  so that  $\int_{|\xi| < \rho} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi > c$ . This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
Ce^{-t\alpha^2} &\geq \|\hat{u}\|_2^2 \\
&= \int_{|\xi|<\rho} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u}_0^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\geq\rho} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u}_0^2 d\xi \\
&\geq e^{-2t\rho^2} \int_{|\xi|<\rho} \hat{u}_0^2 d\xi \\
&> ce^{-t\frac{\alpha^2}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $t$  sufficiently large violates this bound.  $\square$

The next Theorem attempts to characterize types of functions which lead to slower than exponential decay. The first piece of this puzzle is the Riesz potential of initial data,  $I_\beta(u_0)$ . It is defined in Fourier variables as

$$(\widehat{I_\beta u_0})(\xi) = \frac{\hat{u}_0(\xi)}{|\xi|^\beta}$$

Write, when the limit exists,

$$(5.1) \quad A_\beta(u_0) = \lim_{|\xi|\rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{u}_0^2(\xi)}{|\xi|^\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (I_\beta u_0)(x) dx$$

Note that this exists for all  $I_\beta u_0 \in L^1$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $u$  be the solution of the heat equation corresponding to  $u_0 \in L^2$ . If  $I_\beta u_0 \in L^1$  then*

$$t^{\frac{n}{2}+\beta} \|\hat{u}(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(A_\beta(u_0))^2$$

Where  $A_\beta(u_0)$  was defined above. If  $\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\beta}$  for some  $C$  and  $\beta$  then

$$\liminf_{|\xi|\rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{u}_0(\xi)}{|\xi|^\beta} < \infty$$

*Proof.* Both statements in the theorem are consequences of the following equality, proved by the change of variables  $\sqrt{t}\xi = \eta$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{n}{2}+\beta} \|\hat{u}(t)\|_2^2 &= t^{\frac{n}{2}+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u}_0^2(\xi) d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\eta^2} \eta^{2\beta} (\widehat{I_\beta w}(\eta))^2 d\eta
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\lim_{|\xi|\rightarrow 0} \frac{\hat{u}_0(\xi)}{|\xi|^\beta}$  exists and is finite then the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem proves the first statement. If  $\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\beta}$  then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\eta^2} \eta^{2\beta} (\widehat{I_\beta w}(\eta))^2 d\eta \leq C \frac{t^{\frac{n}{2}+\beta}}{(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}+\beta}}$$

Fatou's lemma then proves the second statement.  $\square$

The above theorem shows when  $A_\beta(u_0)$  exists we can determine the rate of decay from  $\beta$  and the dimension of space but the condition  $A_\beta(u_0)$  exists is stronger than  $u_0 \in L^2$  so a more general structure is needed. Moreover, determining if  $A_\beta(u_0)$  exists entails determining if  $I_\beta u_0 \in L^1$ , which might not be always simple. On the other hand, if the Fourier transform of initial data is a polynomial of the form  $|\xi|^q$  in some possibly small neighborhood of the origin then the solution will decay as  $C(1+t)^{-q-\frac{n}{2}}$ . This can be checked by calculating (or estimating) the integral  $\int_{B(\rho)} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\xi|^{2q} d\xi$ . Unfortunately only a small amount of initial data can be described in this way and we are pushed to find what order of polynomial *best* describes a general function  $u_0 \in L^2$  near the origin in Fourier space and using this information to determine the decay rate.

**Definition 5.4.** The “decay indicator”  $P_q(u_0)$  is defined as follows. Let  $B(\rho)$  be the ball of radius  $\rho$  centered at the origin, for  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ :

$$P_q(u_0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

When  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the integral  $\int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi$  considered as a function of  $\rho$  is continuous, monotone decreasing, and bounded from below as  $\rho$  becomes small, this is enough to ensure that  $P_q$  is defined for all  $q$  and  $u_0 \in L^2$ . It is trivially zero for all  $q \leq -\frac{n}{2}$  when  $u_0 \in L^2$  so we consider only  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ .  $P_q(u_0)$  compares  $\hat{u}_0$  to the polynomial  $|\xi|^{2q}$  near the origin and takes values in the (non-negative) extended real numbers, we are interested in three outcomes:  $P_q(u_0) = 0, \infty, c$  with  $c \neq 0$ . Depending on the outcome we think of  $u_0$  as a polynomial with degree, respectively, greater, less, or equal to  $q$  near the origin. It is easy to check that  $P_q(|\xi|^q) = |\omega|(n+2q) = \mu_q$ .

Recalling (5.1), when  $A_q(u_0)$  exists it bounds  $P_q(u_0)$ , in this sense  $P_q$  is weaker than  $A_q$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} P_q(u_0) &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{B(\rho)} \frac{|u_0|^2}{|\xi|^{2q}} \right) \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\xi|^{2q} d\xi \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \sup_{B(\rho)} \frac{|u_0|^2}{|\xi|^{2q}} \mu_q \\ &= A_q^2((u_0)) \mu_q \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.5.** For a given  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we call the unique value  $q^*$  given by Lemma 5.6 below the “decay character.”

**Lemma 5.6.** *For each  $u_0 \in L^2$  there is at most one value of  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  so that  $0 < P_q(u_0) < \infty$ . If such a number exists we denote it  $q^*$ . If no such number exists we take  $q^* = -\frac{n}{2}$  in the case where  $P_q(u_0) = 0$  for all  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  and  $q^* = \infty$  if  $P_q(u_0) = \infty$  for all  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a = \sup\{q : P_q(u_0) = 0\}$  and  $b = \inf\{q : P_q(u_0) = \infty\}$ . If  $q$  is such that  $P_q(u_0) = \infty$  and  $r > q$  then  $P_r(u_0) = \infty$ , this is observed by taking the limit of the following inequality which is valid for all  $\rho < 1$ :

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^{-2r-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi &= \rho^{2q-2r} \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &> \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude  $P_q(u_0) = \infty$  for all  $q > b$  and the lemma is true if  $b = -\frac{n}{2}$ , a similar statement can be made concerning  $q < a$  and shows the lemma is true if  $a = \infty$ .

It is also clear that  $a \leq b$  and  $q^*$  will be well defined for all  $u_0 \in L^2$  when the proof is finished. To accomplish this we wish to show  $a = b$ , this is proved by contradiction. Assume, contrary to the statement, there exists  $q \in (a, b)$ , then  $P_q(u_0) = c$  for some  $0 < c < \infty$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$ , similar to the above inequality:

$$P_{q+\epsilon}(u_0) = \left( \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{-2\epsilon} \right) c$$

This shows  $P_{q+\epsilon}(u_0) = \infty$ , since  $\epsilon$  was chosen arbitrarily we conclude  $q = b$ .  $\square$

The decay character is calculated from the behavior of an  $L^2$  function near the origin in Fourier space, we now prove a theorem relating decay rates and  $P_q(u_0)$ . A consequence of this theorem (Theorem 5.8) will summarize the relation between the decay character and the exact decay rate which is our goal.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let  $u$  be the solution to the heat equation corresponding to  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ . If  $P_q(u_0) > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  which depends only on  $\|u_0\|_2^2$  and the dimension of space so that*

$$C_1(1+t)^{-q-\frac{n}{2}} \leq \|u(t)\|_2^2$$

*If  $P_q(u_0) < \infty$  there are constants  $C_2, C_3 > 0$ , again depending only on  $\|u_0\|_2^2$  the dimension of space so that*

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C_2(C_3 + t)^{-q-\frac{n}{2}}$$

*Proof.* We consider first the lower bound assuming  $P_q(u_0) > 0$ . Relying on the existence of the limit and the fact that it is bounded away from zero we may take  $\rho_0 > 0$  sufficiently small to ensure, for all  $\rho \leq \rho_0$ :

$$c_1 < \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi$$

Let  $0 < \rho(t) \leq \rho_0$ , we will soon chose it exactly.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_2^2 &= \int_{B(\rho)} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi + \int_{B^c(\rho)} e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \\ &\geq (e^{-2\rho^2 t} \rho^{-2q-n}) \left( \rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \right) \\ &\geq (e^{-2\rho^2 t} \rho^{2q+n}) c_1 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\rho(t) = \rho_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  proves the lower bound.

To prove the upper bound assume  $P_q(u_0) < \infty$  and take  $\rho_0 > 0$  sufficiently small so that for all  $\rho \leq \rho_0$ :

$$\rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \leq c_2$$

The constant  $c_2$  is known to exist since  $P_q(u_0) < \infty$ . We now use the Fourier Splitting Method ([5]), starting with the well known energy inequality for the heat equation with  $0 < \rho(t) \leq \rho_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_2^2 &\leq -\|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \\ &\leq -\rho^2 \int_{B^c(\rho)} |\hat{u}(t)|^2 d\xi \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + 2\rho^2 \|u(t)\|_2^2 &\leq 2\rho^2 \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}(t)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq 2\rho^{2+2q+n} C_2 \end{aligned}$$

Take  $m > q + \frac{n}{2}$  and choose  $\rho(t) = \frac{m}{2(C_3+t)^{1/2}}$  where  $C_3 > 0$  is large enough to guarantee  $\rho(0) \leq \rho_0$ . Solve the differential inequality with the integrating factor  $(C_3+t)^m$  to find

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(C_3+t)^{-q-\frac{n}{2}} + (C_3+t)^{-m} \|u_0\|_2^2$$

This is the upper bound. □

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $u(t)$  the corresponding solution to the heat equation, and  $q^*$  the decay character. If  $-\frac{n}{2} < q^* < \infty$  then there are constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$  so that*

$$(5.3) \quad C_1(1+t)^{-q^*-\frac{n}{2}} \leq \|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C_2(C_3+t)^{-q^*-\frac{n}{2}}$$

Moreover, if  $q^* = -\frac{n}{2}$  or  $q^* = \infty$  then  $\|u(t)\|_2^2$  decays, respectively, slower or faster than any polynomial power of  $(1+t)$ .

*Proof.* If  $-\frac{n}{2} < q^* < \infty$  then  $0 < P_{q^*}(u_0) < \infty$  and (5.3) follows from the previous theorem. If  $q^* = -\frac{n}{2}$  we have, for all  $q \in (-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$ , by the previous theorem we are guaranteed the existence of a constant  $C_1(q) > 0$  such that

$$C_1(1+t)^{-q-\frac{n}{2}} \leq \|u(t)\|_2^2$$

Letting  $q$  take all values in  $(-\frac{n}{2}, \infty)$  proves the slow decay statement. The statement concerning  $q^* = \infty$  is argued similarly. □

## 6. DECAY FOR SOLUTIONS TO SOME NONLINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

Our next goal is to apply this idea to a more general class of PDEs with a non-linear term. The idea is that if the non-linear term decays faster than the linear term, the decay of solutions will be given through the decay character. If the non-linear term decays slower then it will determine an upper bound on the decay rate, a lower bound will require more knowledge of the specific non-linear structure. First we will assume a bound on the non-linear term in Fourier space and derive a bound on energy decay. After this we will use results from [8] with the decay character to describe energy decay of solutions for the Navier-Stokes equation.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $u$  be a solution of the PDE (4.11) and assume the non-linearity satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *We are justified in writing the solution as*

$$\hat{u} = e^{-|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-|\xi|^2(t-s)} \widehat{NL(u)}(\xi, s) ds$$

2.  $\widehat{NL(u)}(\xi, s) \leq C|\xi|^k$
3.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \cdot NL(u) dx = 0$

*Let  $q^*$  be the decay character associated with  $u_0$ , then for any  $q < q^*$  there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  so the solution of the PDE satisfies the energy decay estimate*

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C_1(C_2 + t)^{-q - \frac{n}{2}} + C_1(C_2 + t)^{-k - \frac{n}{2}}$$

*Remark 6.2.* The assumptions in this theorem are reasonable for equations such as the Navier-Stokes equation, the Navier-Stokes- $\alpha$  equation and the Magneto-Hydrodynamic equation among others (see, for example, [1], [5], and [7]). The decay rate in this theorem is determined by  $q^*$  or  $k$ , whichever is smaller.

*Proof.* Assumptions 1 and 2 imply

$$|\hat{u}| \leq |e^{-|\xi|^2 t} \hat{u}_0| + C \int_0^t e^{-|\xi|^2(t-s)} |\xi|^k ds$$

Completing the integral on the RHS then squaring yields

$$|\hat{u}|^2 \leq e^{-2|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{u}_0|^2 + C|\xi|^{2k-2}$$

Consider now any  $q \leq q^*$  and take  $\rho_0 > 0$  sufficiently small so that for all  $\rho \leq \rho_0$

$$\rho^{-2q-n} \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi \leq C_3$$

Here  $C_3$  is some constant known to exist since  $q < q^*$ . Assumption 3 allows an energy inequality from which to use the Fourier Splitting Method, proceeding now as in the proof of Theorem 5.7:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + 2\rho^2 \|u(t)\|_2^2 &\leq 2\rho^2 \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}(t)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \rho^2 \int_{B(\rho)} |\hat{u}_0|^2 d\xi + C\rho^2 \int_{B(\rho)} |\xi|^{k-2} d\xi \\ &\leq 2\rho^{2+2q+n} C_3 + C\rho^{2k+n} \end{aligned}$$

Take  $m > \max\{q + \frac{n}{2}, k + \frac{n}{2}\}$  and choose  $\rho(t) = \frac{m}{2(C_2+t)^{1/2}}$  where  $C_2 > 0$  is large enough to guarantee  $\rho(0) \leq \rho_0$ . To finish the Fourier Splitting Method multiply the above equation by  $(C_2 + t)^m$  and solve the differential inequality to find

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(C_2 + t)^{-q - \frac{n}{2}} + C(C_2 + t)^{-k - \frac{n}{2}} + (C_2 + t)^{-m} \|u_0\|_2^2$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

In the specific case of the Navier-Stokes equation there has been significant investigation into the rate at which a solution approaches a solution of the heat equation with the same initial data, see [2], [4], [8]. We will now demonstrate how the results of this section fit with the main theorem in [8].

**Theorem 6.3.** (Wiegner)

Let  $u$  be a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq 4$ ,

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 \quad u(0) = u_0 \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the energy inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 + 2 \int_s^t \|\nabla u(r)\|_2^2 dr \leq \|u(s)\|_2^2$$

If  $\|e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\alpha}$ , then  $\|u(t) - e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq h_\alpha(t)(1+t)^{-d}$  with  $d = \frac{n}{2} + 1 - 2 \max\{1 - \alpha, 0\}$  and

$$h_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \epsilon(t) & \text{for } \alpha = 0, \text{ with } \epsilon(t) \searrow 0 \text{ for } t \rightarrow \infty \\ C \ln^2(t+e) & \text{for } \alpha = 1 \\ C & \text{for } \alpha \neq 0, 1 \end{cases}$$

*Remark 6.4.* The actual theorem proved by Wiegner is more general as it includes a forcing term, forcing terms are outside the scope of this paper.

*Proof.* See [8]  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** Let  $u$  be as in Theorem 6.3 and  $q^*$  be the decay character associated with  $u_0$ .

1. If  $-\frac{n}{2} < q^* < 1 - \frac{n}{2}$  then there are constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$  so that

$$C_1(1+t)^{-q^* - \frac{n}{2}} \leq \|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C_2(C_3 + t)^{-q^* - \frac{n}{2}}$$

2. If  $q^* \geq 1 - \frac{n}{2}$  then  $\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2} - \beta}$  where  $\beta = \min(q^*, 1)$ .

3. If  $q^* = -\frac{n}{2}$  and  $n = 3, 4$  then  $\|u(t)\|_2^2$  decays slower than any polynomial.

*Proof.* This is a combination of the the above theorem and Theorem 5.8. In case 1, Corollary 5.8 gives

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2} - q^*} \leq \|e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq C_2(C_3 + t)^{-\frac{n}{2} - q^*}$$

while Theorem 6.3 allows  $(\alpha = q^* + \frac{n}{2}, d = \frac{3n}{2} - 1 - 2q^*)$ :

$$\|u(t) - e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{3n}{2} + 1 - 2q^*}$$

Notice that the second decays faster. Combining these with the triangle inequality proves 1.

Case 2 is similar, this time Theorem 5.8 gives

$$C_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-q^*} \leq \|e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq C_2(C_3+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-q^*}$$

while Theorem 6.3 allows ( $\alpha = \frac{n}{2} + q^*$ ,  $d = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ ):

$$\|u(t) - e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq h_\alpha(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1}$$

An application of the triangle inequality shows

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq h_\alpha(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} + C_2(C_3+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-q^*}$$

Case 3 also follows from Theorems 5.8 and

6.3, and the triangle inequality. With  $\alpha = 0$  in Theorem 6.3 we have  $\|u(t) - e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}$  while  $\|e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2$  decays slower than any polynomial (recall  $\epsilon(t) \searrow 0$ ). When  $n = 3, 4$  the first decays faster, thus, for large  $t$ ,

$$2\|e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq \|e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 - \|u(t) - e^{\Delta t} u_0\|_2^2 \leq \|u(t)\|_2^2$$

□

## 7. DECAY CHARACTER IN MORREY SPACES

In this section we show that when we work in Morrey spaces the decay character will be predetermined. We recall first the definition of a classical Morrey space.

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $1 < q \leq p < \infty$  then the classical Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,q} = \{f \in L^q_{loc} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}} < \infty\}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,q}} = \sup_{\{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n\}} \sup_{R>0} R^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{q}} \left( \int_{|x-x_0|<R} |f(y)|^q dy \right)^{1/q}$$

There is a natural link between the decay character and the Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{p,2}$ , this is demonstrated by the following theorems.

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $\widehat{u}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{M}_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then the decay character associated to  $u_0$  satisfies  $q^* \geq \frac{-n}{p}$ . Let  $u(t)$  the solution to the heat equation with data  $u_0$  then

$$\|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq C_2(C_3+t)^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{2}}$$

*Proof.* The proof is an immediate consequence of the definition of the decay character, the result in Theorem 5.7 and the definition of Morrey spaces. □

*Remark 7.3.* The above Theorem can also be combined with Theorem 6.5 to deduce decay rates for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation when the Fourier transform of the initial data is known to be in the Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{p,2}$ .

## 8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Endre Süli for many very helpful suggestions and discussions and an anonymous referee for suggesting the link with Morrey spaces.



## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bjorland and M. E. Schonbek. On questions of decay and existence for the viscous camassa-holm equations.
- [2] A. Carpio. Asymptotic behavior for the vorticity equations in dimensions two and three. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 19(5-6):827–872, 1994.
- [3] L . C. Evans. Partial differential equations.
- [4] T. Miyakawa and M. E. Schonbek. On optimal decay rates for weak solutions to the navier-stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Mathematica Bohemica*, 126(2):443–455, 2001.
- [5] M. E. Schonbek.  $L^2$  decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 88(3):209–222, 1985.
- [6] M. E. Schonbek. Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 11(7):733–763, 1986.
- [7] M. E. Schonbek, T. P. Schonbek, and Endre Süli. Large-time behaviour of solutions to the magnetohydrodynamics equations. *Math. Ann.*, 304(4):717–756, 1996.
- [8] M. Wiegner. Decay results for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 35(2):303–313, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC SANTA CRUZ, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064, USA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC SANTA CRUZ, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064, USA